

reflected in LR 239 as it initially was proposed, as amended by the committee, with the change in structure and then there would be a separate provision which the public could consider whether or not the student voting member should also be approved in the Constitution. It would seem to me that then for the student right to vote would depend upon both provisions of the Constitution being amended, that is the one change in the structure would have to be approved as well as the one for voting of students. But it seems to me that this is an issue which has come up frequently whether or not students should have a right to vote on the governing board ought to be a separate and distinct issue and not become embroiled in the issue of structure itself. It's an issue that deserves, because of its importance, to be treated individually and separately and not thrown into the other structural changes that is proposed by the constitutional amendment. So it does not...the amendment does not strike the provision in any way, shape or form as to the possibility of the student's voting rights, it merely places it as a separate item on the ballot along with the balance of the provisions of LB 239, as originally introduced in reflecting the recommendations of the consultants.

SPEAKER BARRETT: For discussion of the Warner amendment, Senator Lamb.

SENATOR LAMB: On the bill.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Senator Moore.

SENATOR MOORE: Yes, Mr. Speaker and members, I rise to oppose Senator Warner's amendment and I do so with caution, I guess, because I realize that if, indeed, the Warner amendment was adopted, at least I would have the opportunity to get an issue that I think is important on the ballot. But I think, for a variety of reasons, I rise to oppose Senator Warner's amendments. Now for those of you that have followed the issue, yes, I did introduce LR 240 and LR 240, by itself, was a resolution that would have put on the ballot whether or not making one member of the student Board of Regents a voting member. That was within the confines of our present system. Well, at that time, you know, I basically said my original intent for introducing LR 240 was the simple fact I think the concept of a student vote should be contained in its overall...in this overhaul of the higher education system. And when I introduced LR 240 I said if, indeed, it's the